

Scoring Rubric for Concept Maps

<p>Exemplary (5 pts)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Map is an excellent teaching tool • Map accurately and precisely illustrates the important relationships (obvious and complex) between concepts; • Map is complex but clear and concise; links highlight important relationships without unnecessary redundancy • Each link stands alone (independently connecting two concepts) • Map is neat and easy to read
<p>Good (4 pts)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Map is presented clearly and demonstrates generally accurate understanding, but linking terms are not always as precise and/or concise as they could be • Map is less thorough than above (some important relationships are not described); map may show evidence of redundancy • Map may include one or two links that do not independently describe the relationship between adjacent concepts • Map is easy to read
<p>Adequate (3 pts)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Linking terms demonstrate a very basic understanding of the relationships between concepts • Important relationships are missing or poorly described • Map is organized but with less clarity/logic; map is harder to read • Map includes a number of links that are not independent
<p>Marginal (1 pt)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Map demonstrates unclear thinking or fails to accurately map important relationships • Map contains links that do are not logically independent. • Map has inappropriate structure (for example, linear without crosslinks) • Map is disorganized and/or difficult to read